UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD

In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

Case No. 3:21- cv-05227-JD

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF *PARENS PATRIAE* SETTLEMENT, AND FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 58

Final approval of the *parens patriae* settlement is granted. This order and final judgment is based on a proposed order lodged by the parties, and modified according to the Court's practices and conclusions.

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action and each of the parties.

2. The Court, for purposes of this order, adopts the definitions set forth in the Settlement.

3. The Court, for purposes of this order, finds that the attorney general of each of the States is the representative of natural persons in that respective State and has authority to settle and release the Released Claims of the Settlement Consumers in that State.

4. The Court finally approves and confirms the Settlement and finds that it is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate.

5. The persons identified in Exhibit D to the Declaration of Rochelle J. Teichmiller in Support of States' Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of *Parens Patriae* Settlement have timely and validly requested exclusion from the *Parens Patriae* Settlement, and so are excluded. These persons are not included in or bound by this final judgment, and are not entitled to any recovery from the Settlement Fund.

6. The Court dismisses with prejudice the claims asserted against Google in *State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.*, Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD, and *In re Google Play Consumer*

Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD, with the States, Individual Plaintiffs, and Google to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.

7. The States, Individual Plaintiffs, and all persons who are Settlement Consumers release all claims that are agreed to be released in the Settlement.

8. The Settlement and this order will not be construed as an admission or concession by Google of the truth of any of the allegations in these cases, or of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind.

9. The notice given to the Eligible Consumers set forth in the Settlement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including direct email notice to all Eligible Consumers whose email addresses could be validated through reasonable efforts and supplemental publication notice. The notice provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the terms set forth in the Settlement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and fully satisfied the requirements of due process.

10. The Distribution Plan to provide payment to Settlement Consumers (*see* Declaration of Lana Cooper in Support of States' Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of *Parens Patriae* Settlement) is approved under 15 U.S.C. § 15c, satisfies due process, and is fair and reasonable. The plan of distribution treats consumers equitably.

11. The Court appoints Berkeley Research Group, LLC, to assist the States in effectuating the Distribution Plan for the Settlement Fund.

12. The Court continues the appointment of Verita Global to serve as Settlement Administrator and to assist the States in maintaining and disbursing the Settlement Fund pursuant to the Distribution Plan.

13. The Court retains jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any distribution to Settlement Consumers pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) determining applications by Consumer Counsel for representative plaintiff incentive awards, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, including expert fees and costs; (d) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of Settlement Fund proceeds; and (e) all parties for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement.

14. If the States begin distribution to Settlement Consumers before the Court has ruled on Consumer Counsel's application for fees, awards, costs, and expenses, the States will hold back $93.62 million dollars ($85 million in requested fees and approximately $8.62 million in requested costs and incentive awards) from the initial round of distribution. Once the Court makes a final determination on Consumer Counsel's application, any difference between the amount of the Court's final determination and $93.62 million will be added to the residual from the initial distribution and then be distributed to Settlement Consumers through subsequent round(s) of distribution.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 18, 2026

James Donato
United States District Judge